

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/471,749 | 12/23/1999 | JENNIFER L. HILLMAN | PF-0519-1DIV | 7908 |
| 22428 7. | 7590 08/11/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| FOLEY AND LARDNER | | | HARRIS, ALANA M | |
| SUITE 500 3000 K STREE | ET NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20007 | | | 1642 | • |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/471,749 | HILLMAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. | 1642 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | ng date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to the content of | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 May 2004. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | ellant's Brief must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c | the period set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ling a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | ± · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| . ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>21,22,27,28 and 45</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24,25,29,30,41 and 42. | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | - MANON A Proposition | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims continue to embrace claim language (i.e. limited sequence homology and variant sequences) that does not enable the scope of the claims. Likewise, the specification does not provide sufficient evidence that Applicants had possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing as set forth in the Final Rejection mailed February 12, 2004.